NOV 25 2008

PTO/SB/64 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

ETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1 137/6\

Docket Number (Optional)

	1.107(b)
First named inventor: DAVOOD KHAZILI	
Application No.: 10/790, 437	Art Unit: 2875
Filed:	Examiner: JOHN A. WARD
Title:	
Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300	
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in control information at (571) 272-3282.	ompleting this form, please contact Petitions
The above-identified application became abandoned for failu action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus	date of ahandonment is the day offer the evering time
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR RE	
NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following it (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee filed before June 8, 1995; and for all de: (4) Statement that the entire delay was unit	- required for all utility and plant applications
1 Petition fee	
Small entity-fee \$ 750 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applican	
Other than small entity – fee \$ (37 CFR	1.17(m))
Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action the form of	on in(identify type of reply):
has been filed previously onis enclosed herewith.	
B. The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of s has been paid previously on is enclosed herewith.	£

[Page 1 of 2]
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. [Page 1 of 2]

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

* PTO/SB/64 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	
Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995,	no terminal disclaimer is required.
A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time	for a small entity or \$is enclosed herewith (see
PTO/SB/63). 4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due d filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [N Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]	OTE: The United States Patent and
WARNING:	
Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in docur contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security number numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.21 of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Chec 2038 submitted for payment purposes are for the submitted for payment purposes.	ers, bank account numbers, or credit card for payment purposes) is never required by on is included in documents submitted to the from the documents before submitting them on is available to the public after publication is [3(a)] is made in the application or issuance available to the public if the application is the and credit cord outbrackets.
D. 1866 -	3/15/07
Signature	7//5/8/
	Date
DAVOOD KHALILI	<u> </u>
Typed or printed name	Registration Number, if applicable
P.O. Box 743	(408)246-2020
Address	Telephone Number
SANTA CLARA CA 95052	•
Address Enclosures: Fee Payment	86-1339163319 ((H/NA)
Réply	(*)
Terminal Disclaimer Form	
Additional sheets containing statements establishing unir	stantianal data.
	itentional delay
Other:	
1)/100/	nown below with sufficient stop Petition, Commissioner for

NOV 2 5 2008 PARADEMARKOR P

To: U.S. Patent Office

Petition Dept.

Re: Application #10/790,437

Date: March 15, 2007

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing to ask for your help to revive my application for reconsideration of registration.

I was out of the country and I have no relative in USA. As a result I got my hands on the papers from patent office late. In regard to this patent I replied to the office by calling from China and sending my reply a few months late, but had no idea what else was needed. My reply was accurate to support the originality of my idea and particularity of the design and application.

However it is unfortunate that my patent application was abandoned.

My idea was perceived in 2001 and it uses 1.5/1.2 volt batteries for maximum usage since it works as the battery goes to as low as 0.8 volts. As a result the rechargeable batteries are the main factor here and the fact that maximum energy from battery is used before its replacement or recharge are unique to this patent application.

Considering my difficult situation in responding on time to the US patent office, I hope you will give me a chance by reviving my application.

Sincerely,

Davood Khalili

P.O. Box 743 Santa Clara, CA 95052

Tel 408-246-2020

Tel in china 86-133916-33916

Date: March 15, 2007

Patent Application # 10/790,437

Inventor: Davood Khalili

Reply for Patent Application Question

The laser pointer patent application submitted by Davood Khalili was a result of work done in 2001 and the evidence was documented and witnessed and signed. In addition, the patent is different from other patents which have been filed by others because the Integrated Circuit chip and /or the circuitry used here allows the usage of rechargeable batteries (1.2 Volts) and it allows the device to operate at voltages (0.8) lower than any similar circuits and therefore it allows maximum juice to be used from a battery before it needs to disposed of or recharged. The intent of the application here is to use up most of the stored energy in a battery by having a circuit DC-DC converter that accepts inputs as low as 0.8 Volts. In this case rechargeable batteries and non-rechargeable batteries (1.5 Volt) can be efficiently used.

V	P.F.			
φ,	10	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
101	2 5 2008 Office Action Summary	10/790,437	KHALILI, DAVOOD	
	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
STA	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	John A. Ward	2875	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE AND A STATE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication	
ł	Status			
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ehruani 2004	•	
ł		action is non-final.		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ICE except for formal matters in	rosponition and the	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quavle, 1935 C.D. 11	453 O C 212	
	Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+00 O.G. 213.	
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application			
- 1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in from consideration.		
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.		•	
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are objected to.			
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•	
.	Application Papers			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•	
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CER 1 95(a)	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	viented to Soc 27 OFD 4 4044 II	
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	
F	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	nombd 05.11.0.0		
1	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	nonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents i	Nave been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received in Apolication	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
1	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not receive	d.	
ŀ	•			
.				
Δf	tachment(s)	,	e ·	
4	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
:	Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:	•	

PTO/\$B/64 (09-06) Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63). 4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).1 WARNING: Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not petalped in the application file and therefore are not publicly available. Registration Number, if applicable (86) 13391633196 (CIAINA) Enclosures: Fee Payment Reply Terminal Disclaimer Form Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)] Th

ereby certify that this correspondence is be	eing:
Deposited with the United States P	ostal Service on the date shown below with sufficient velope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for
postage as first class mail in an en	velope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for
Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandri	a. VA 22313-1450.
Transmitted by facsimile on the dat	e shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark
Office at (571) 273-8300.	
3/15/07	Dens Mil.
Date	Signature
	DAVOOD KHALILI
,	Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

November 17, 2008

To U.S patent Office Petitions department



I am disappointed and dismayed about your response and your action regarding my filing of petitions to revive my applications for the two patents as described in the attached documents. I believe you have failed to do your job as required and you have poorly responded to my request. I will describe my reasons to reach this conclusion in the following paragraph. Based on this performance I feel the need to inform you of your mistakes before I write to Honorable Nancy Pelosi to improve the activities and serving of the U.S. public and inventors in a better way and just way rather than acting as a business center.

Here are my reasons:

- 1. Regarding the first patent application (laser pointer) the examiner had called and left a message and when I spoke to him and only said to ask for more time, and pay more without considering the fact that my idea for patent was conceived in 2001 and he was not able to resolve the issue by my verbal explanation. So consequently I wrote and explained but he had already made a mistake by grating the patent to another applicant. He had no reason to ask me to file new papers but perhaps to cause me more expenses.
- 2. In spite of the fact that I had indicated I was living overseas and had only delayed-access to my mail, your office and also the examiner's office did not allow me much time to respond in timely manner.
- 3. The second patent application indeed consisted of two independent ideas and surely the examiner was right and totally correct about filing them separately and I did this in the petition provided the two separate files. Earlier the examiner for this application had only left a message without referring to the number and I could not find his name in your system upon calling many times. I only then assumed he had called just regarding the first application(laser pointer) and not the second application.
- 4. Obviously the two applications have already been exposed to public on freshpatents.com and other people may have already filed and got ides so in the petition I provided separate materials to amend my

- applications rather than starting all over by filing two new applications.
- 5. In view of these your office did not give any proper and useful answers and did not read or understand my request and categorically rejected both my petitions.

I feel that this is unfair and unjust. Considering the petition office's response, I hope your office will return my 1500 dollars(750 + 750). Then in that case I will just give up filing patents in USA. I strongly believe that I have been treated unfairly and I have examples of many cases where patent office issues patents to ideas not worth anything filed by big corporations and lawyers.

Sincerely,

Davood Khalili 5812 Fraser st.

Vancouver, CANADA

V5W2Z5